



March 16, 2015

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy  
House Majority Whip  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative McCarthy:

As leading U.S. science, engineering, and academic institutions, we are writing to once again express our concerns regarding the Secret Science Reform Act of 2015 (H.R. 1030). We encourage you and your colleagues to take additional time to evaluate the unintended consequences of this bill before passing it on the House floor.

The research community is concerned about how some of the key terms in the bill could be interpreted or misinterpreted, especially terms such as “materials,” “data,” and “reproducible.” Would the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) be excluded from utilizing research that involved physical specimens or biological materials that are not easily accessible? How would the agency address research that combines both public and private data?

With respect to reproducibility of research, some scientific research, especially in areas of public health, involves longitudinal studies that are so large and of great duration that they could not realistically be reproduced. Rather, these studies are replicated utilizing statistical modeling. The same may be true for scientific data from a one-time event (e.g., Deepwater Horizon Gulf oil spill) where the data are gathered in real time. We could foresee a situation in which the EPA would be constrained from making a proposal or even disseminating public information in a timely fashion.

Finally, the legislation could impose additional uncompensated burdens of cost and effort on those recipients of federal research grants where the research results are expected to be “relied on to support a covered action.” The bill is not clear on whether it is the EPA’s or the research institution’s responsibility to cover the costs associated with sharing and archiving this information.

The Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) is working with federal agencies to establish access to data policies that relate “to the dissemination and long-term stewardship of the results of unclassified research, including digital data and peer-reviewed scholarly publications.” Agencies are beginning to issue their data access policies, and given the complexities associated with access to research data as outlined above we suggest that Congress wait to review the agency policies before imposing new statutory requirements.

American Anthropological Association  
American Association for the Advancement of Science  
American Chemical Society  
American Geophysical Union  
American Geosciences Institute  
American Meteorological Society  
American Society for Microbiology (ASM)  
American Society of Agronomy  
American Society of Civil Engineers  
Association of American Geographers  
Association of American Universities  
Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU)  
Biophysical Society  
Brown University  
Consortium for Ocean Leadership  
Consortium of Social Science Associations  
Cornell University  
Crop Science Society of America  
Duke University  
Ecological Society of America  
Entomological Society of America  
Harvard University  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
National Council for Science and the Environment  
Society for Conservation Biology  
Soil Science Society of America  
Stanford University  
The Ohio State University  
The University of Texas at Austin  
University of California System  
University of California, Riverside  
University of Maryland  
University of Michigan  
University of Oregon  
University of Pennsylvania